

Large cardinal axioms in category theory II

Andrew Brooke-Taylor

University of Leeds

Winter School 2020

Before starting on Part II...

Answer to Martin's Question

Martin asked:

Question

*Can there be a dense set of objects in **Top**?*

Before starting on Part II...

Answer to Martin's Question

Martin asked:

Question

*Can there be a dense set of objects in **Top**?*

The answer is no. In fact there can't even be a colimit-dense one.

For any regular cardinal κ , consider the co-bounded topology on κ : a set $X \subseteq \kappa$ is open if and only if $\kappa \setminus X$ is bounded in κ . Note that the induced topology on any bounded subset of κ is thus the discrete topology. Therefore, this space cannot be a colimit of any set of spaces of size less than κ : each of them would have bounded and hence discrete image, so the colimit topology would be discrete; but there are non-open sets in this space.

Part II: Accessible categories and Vopěnka's Principle

Functors

The notion of a functor is the natural notion of a homomorphism for categories. A functor between two categories takes objects to objects, morphisms to morphisms, and respects composition and identities.

Examples

- The fundamental group functor $\pi_1: \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gp}$ in algebraic topology.
- The forgetful functor $U: \mathbf{Gp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, sending any group to its underlying set.
- The free group functor $F: \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gp}$, sending any set to the free group generated by that set.
- The abelianisation functor $Ab: \mathbf{Gp} \rightarrow \mathbf{AbGp}$, sending any group to its abelianisation.
- Instead of viewing a diagram as an ad-hoc collection of objects and morphisms, we can view it as the image of a functor from some *index category*.

Poset categories

Any partially ordered set can be viewed as a category, where the elements of the set are the objects, and there's at most one morphism between any x and y , specifically,

$$|\mathrm{Hom}(x, y)| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(Note that the identity morphism will be the unique morphism from x to x .)

Poset categories

Any partially ordered set can be viewed as a category, where the elements of the set are the objects, and there's at most one morphism between any x and y , specifically,

$$|\mathrm{Hom}(x, y)| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(Note that the identity morphism will be the unique morphism from x to x .)

For λ a regular cardinal, a poset is λ -directed if every subset of cardinality less than λ has an upper bound.

Eg: the usual notion of directed is \aleph_0 -directed in this notation.

A λ -directed diagram is one whose index category (i.e. the domain of the functor) is a λ -directed poset.

λ -presentable objects

Definition

An object P in a category \mathcal{C} is λ -presentable if the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, -): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ preserves λ -direct colimits.

λ -presentable objects

Definition

An object P in a category \mathcal{C} is λ -presentable if the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, -): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ preserves λ -direct colimits.

i.e. for every λ -directed diagram \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{C} with a colimit C , the set $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, C)$ is built up as the colimit of the sets $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, D_i)$ (for D_i in \mathcal{D}) along maps obtained by composing with the morphisms in \mathcal{D} .

λ -presentable objects

Definition

An object P in a category \mathcal{C} is λ -presentable if the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, -): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ preserves λ -direct colimits.

i.e. for every λ -directed diagram \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{C} with a colimit C , the set $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, C)$ is built up as the colimit of the sets $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P, D_i)$ (for D_i in \mathcal{D}) along maps obtained by composing with the morphisms in \mathcal{D} .

i.e. for every morphism f from P to the colimit C of a λ -directed diagram \mathcal{D} , f factors through \mathcal{D} , uniquely up to the expected identifications via the morphisms in \mathcal{D} .

λ -presentability examples

In **Set**, an object is λ -presentable iff it has cardinality less than λ .

λ -presentability examples

In **Set**, an object is λ -presentable iff it has cardinality less than λ .

In **Gp**, \aleph_0 presentability agrees with the usual notion of finite presentability. Likewise, a group is λ -presentable if it is given by fewer than λ many generators and relations.

Accessible categories

A category \mathcal{K} is λ -accessible if

- \mathcal{K} has λ -directed colimits, and
- there is a set \mathcal{A} of λ -presentable objects such that every object is a λ -directed colimit of objects from \mathcal{A} .

A category is accessible if it is λ -accessible for some λ .

Accessible categories

A category \mathcal{K} is λ -accessible if

- \mathcal{K} has λ -directed colimits, and
- there is a set \mathcal{A} of λ -presentable objects such that every object is a λ -directed colimit of objects from \mathcal{A} .

A category is accessible if it is λ -accessible for some λ .

A category \mathcal{K} is **locally λ -presentable** if it is λ -accessible and it *cocomplete*: it has colimits for all small (i.e. set-sized) diagrams.

Examples

Locally presentable categories

- **Set**, **Gp**
- **Gra**, the category of directed graphs and their homomorphisms.
- **Ban**, the category of complex Banach spaces and linear contractions.

Accessible but not locally presentable categories

- The category of sets with only injections as morphisms.
- **Hil**, the category of Hilbert spaces as a full subcategory of **Ban** (i.e. with linear contractions as the morphisms).
- The category of models for some theory, with elementary embeddings as the morphisms.

Neither

- **Top** (see the answer to Martin's question).

Simplification I

Theorem

In a λ -accessible category \mathcal{K} , there are only set-many isomorphism types of λ -presentable objects.

Simplification I

Theorem

In a λ -accessible category \mathcal{K} , there are only set-many isomorphism types of λ -presentable objects.

Proof outline - details on the board.

For \mathcal{A} the set of λ -presentable objects as per the definition of λ -accessibility, there are no more than

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |\mathrm{Hom}(A, A)|$$

isomorphism types of λ -presentable objects in \mathcal{K} . Indeed, for every λ -presentable object K , there are an $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and morphisms $f: A \rightarrow K$ and $g: K \rightarrow A$ such that K is identified up to isomorphism by $g \circ f$. \square

Simplification II

For any λ -accessible category \mathcal{K} , let $\mathbf{Pres}_\lambda \mathcal{K}$ denote the set of (chosen representatives of the isomorphism classes of) all λ -presentable objects in \mathcal{K} .

Simplification II

For any λ -accessible category \mathcal{K} , let $\mathbf{Pres}_\lambda \mathcal{K}$ denote the set of (chosen representatives of the isomorphism classes of) all λ -presentable objects in \mathcal{K} .

Theorem

In any λ -accessible category \mathcal{K} , $\mathbf{Pres}_\lambda \mathcal{K}$ is dense, and the canonical diagrams have λ -directed cofinal subdiagrams.

Proof outline.

Use the defining colimits of λ -accessibility, and λ -presentability. □

A theorem

Theorem (Rosický, Trnková & Adámek, 1990)

Assuming Vopěnka's Principle, for each full embedding $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ with \mathcal{K} an accessible category, there is a regular cardinal λ such that F preserves λ -directed colimits.

Vopěnka's Principle

Vopěnka's Principle, accessible categories formulation

No accessible category admits a proper class of objects with the only morphisms amongst them being the identities (i.e. there is no *rigid* class of objects).

Vopěnka's Principle

Vopěnka's Principle, accessible categories formulation

No accessible category admits a proper class of objects with the only morphisms amongst them being the identities (i.e. there is no *rigid* class of objects).

Equivalently, by clever coding:

Vopěnka's Principle, graphs formulation

For any proper class \mathcal{C} of graphs, there are distinct graphs G_0 and G_1 in \mathcal{C} such that there exists a graph homomorphism from G_0 to G_1 .

Vopěnka's Principle

Vopěnka's Principle, accessible categories formulation

No accessible category admits a proper class of objects with the only morphisms amongst them being the identities (i.e. there is no *rigid* class of objects).

Equivalently, by clever coding:

Vopěnka's Principle, graphs formulation

For any proper class \mathcal{C} of graphs, there are distinct graphs G_0 and G_1 in \mathcal{C} such that there exists a graph homomorphism from G_0 to G_1 .

Vopěnka's Principle, first order structures formulation

For any signature Σ , and any proper class \mathcal{C} of Σ -structures, there are distinct structures A and B in \mathcal{C} such that there exists a homomorphism from A to B .

Vopěnka's Principle, elementary embeddings formulation

For any signature Σ , and any proper class \mathcal{C} of Σ -structures, there are distinct structures A and B in \mathcal{C} such that there exists an elementary embedding from A to B .

An important observation

Bagaria, Casacuberta, Mathias and Rosický: VP for classes defined by formulae of a given quantifier complexity is strictly weaker than full VP, so many specific applications of VP can be obtained from weaker assumptions.

A convenient sledgehammer

Theorem (Rosický)

*The accessible categories are precisely those equivalent to the categories of models of **basic** theories (possibly in infinitary logic). In particular, each one can be fully embedded in $\mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ for suitable Σ .*

Stratifying the theorem

Theorem (Bagaria & B-T)

Suppose that \mathcal{K} is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ for some signature Σ . Let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be any Σ_n -definable full embedding with Σ_n -definable domain category \mathcal{A} , for some $n > 0$. If there exists a $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinal greater than

- the rank of Σ ,
- the arity of each function or relation symbol in Σ , and
- the ranks of the parameters used in some Σ_n definitions of F and \mathcal{A} and in some definition of \mathcal{K} ,

then there exists a regular cardinal λ such that F preserves λ -directed colimits.

Using elementary embeddings of the universe in category theory

Basic idea

If all the categories, functors, etc that you care about are definable, and j is an elementary embedding of the whole universe into (a reasonable approximation of) itself fixing the parameters in the definitions, then j commutes with **everything**.

$C^{(n)}$ cardinals

We denote by $C^{(n)}$ the class of cardinals κ such that $V_\kappa \prec_{\Sigma_n} V$, that is, for every Σ_n formula $\varphi(x)$ and set $x_0 \in V_\kappa$,

$$\langle V_\kappa, \in \rangle \models \varphi(x_0) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \langle V, \in \rangle \models \varphi(x_0).$$

$C^{(n)}$ cardinals

We denote by $C^{(n)}$ the class of cardinals κ such that $V_\kappa \prec_{\Sigma_n} V$, that is, for every Σ_n formula $\varphi(x)$ and set $x_0 \in V_\kappa$,

$$\langle V_\kappa, \in \rangle \models \varphi(x_0) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \langle V, \in \rangle \models \varphi(x_0).$$

By the reflection theorem, $C^{(n)}$ is unbounded.

$C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinals

Definition

A cardinal κ is $C^{(n)}$ -*extendible* if for every $\lambda > \kappa$ there is a cardinal $\mu > \lambda$ and an elementary embedding $j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ such that

- ① $\kappa = \text{crit}(j)$, i.e., κ is the least ordinal such that $j(\kappa) \neq \kappa$,
- ② $j(\kappa) > \lambda$, and
- ③ $j(\kappa) \in C^{(n)}$.

$C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinals

Definition

A cardinal κ is $C^{(n)}$ -*extendible* if for every $\lambda > \kappa$ there is a cardinal $\mu > \lambda$ and an elementary embedding $j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ such that

- ① $\kappa = \text{crit}(j)$, i.e., κ is the least ordinal such that $j(\kappa) \neq \kappa$,
- ② $j(\kappa) > \lambda$, and
- ③ $j(\kappa) \in C^{(n)}$.

κ is $C^{(n)+}$ -*extendible* if moreover, for every $\lambda > \kappa$ in $C^{(n)}$, there is a $\mu > \lambda$ in $C^{(n)}$ and an elementary embedding $j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ such that (1), (2) and (3) hold.

Relationships of large cardinals

Theorem (Tsaprounis)

A cardinal κ is $C^{(n)}$ -extendible if and only if it is $C^{(n)+}$ -extendible.

Relationships of large cardinals

Theorem (Tsaprounis)

A cardinal κ is $C^{(n)}$ -extendible if and only if it is $C^{(n)+}$ -extendible.

Theorem (Bagaria, Casacuberta, Mathias & Rosický)

Vopěnka's Principle is equivalent to the existence of a proper class of $C^{(n)+}$ -extendible cardinals for every n . Moreover, the existence of a $C^{(n)+}$ -extendible κ corresponds exactly to Vopěnka's Principle for classes that are Σ_{n+2} -definable with parameters from V_κ .

The main theorem again

Theorem (Bagaria & B-T)

Suppose that \mathcal{K} is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ for some signature Σ . Let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be any Σ_n -definable full embedding with Σ_n -definable domain category \mathcal{A} , for some $n > 0$. If there exists a $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinal greater than

- ❶ the rank of Σ ,
- ❷ the arity of each function or relation symbol in Σ , and
- ❸ the ranks of the parameters used in some Σ_n definitions of F and \mathcal{A} and in some definition of \mathcal{K} ,

then there exists a regular cardinal λ such that F preserves λ -directed colimits.

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

Sufficient:

$i \circ F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits,

where $i : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ is the inclusion functor (and this notional inclusion doesn't change the quantifier complexity). So WLOG assume $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$.

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

Sufficient:

$i \circ F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits,

where $i : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ is the inclusion functor (and this notional inclusion doesn't change the quantifier complexity). So WLOG assume $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$.

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

Sufficient:

$i \circ F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits,

where $i : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ is the inclusion functor (and this notional inclusion doesn't change the quantifier complexity). So WLOG assume $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$.

Note: $\mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ has all λ -directed colimits, for λ greater than the arities of the symbols in Σ (i.e. cardinals as per (ii)).

Let β be sufficiently large as per (i), (ii) and (iii).

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

Consider the following category \mathcal{C} :

Objects: $\mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$ such that for some $\lambda > \beta$ and some λ -directed diagram \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{A} ,

- A is the colimit of \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{A} ,
- \bar{A} is the colimit of $F\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathbf{Str} \Sigma$, and
- a is the morphism induced by the image under F of the \mathcal{A} -colimit cocone from \mathcal{D} to A .

Morphisms: From a to b : pairs $\langle g, h \rangle$ of $\mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ morphisms such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bar{A} & \xrightarrow{a} & F(A) \\
 g \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\
 \bar{B} & \xrightarrow{b} & F(B)
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.
 \mathcal{C} : cat. of sufficiently directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

Consider the following category \mathcal{C} :

Objects: $\mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$ such that for some $\lambda > \beta$ and some λ -directed diagram \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{A} ,

- A is the colimit of \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{A} ,
- \bar{A} is the colimit of $F\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathbf{Str} \Sigma$, and
- a is the morphism induced by the image under F of the \mathcal{A} -colimit cocone from \mathcal{D} to A .

Morphisms: From a to b : pairs $\langle g, h \rangle$ of $\mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ morphisms such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bar{A} & \xrightarrow{a} & F(A) \\
 \downarrow g & & \downarrow h \\
 \bar{B} & \xrightarrow{b} & F(B)
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.
 \mathcal{C} : cat. of sufficiently directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.
 \mathcal{C} : cat. of sufficiently directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

Let \mathcal{C}^* be the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} of those a which are *not* isomorphisms.

If the theorem fails, then \mathcal{C}^* is not essentially small.

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of sufficiently directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

Let \mathcal{C}^* be the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} of those a which are *not* isomorphisms.

If the theorem fails, then \mathcal{C}^* is not essentially small.

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of sufficiently directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

Claim

$\text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}^*)$ is Σ_{n+2} -definable over the language of set theory (extended with \mathcal{P}_β):

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.
 \mathcal{C} : cat. of sufficiently directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$
 \mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos
 Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

Claim

$\text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}^*)$ is Σ_{n+2} -definable over the language of set theory (extended with \mathcal{P}_β):
 $a \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}^*)$ iff

$$\exists \lambda \exists \mathcal{D} \exists \langle \bar{A}, \bar{\eta} \rangle \exists \langle A, \eta \rangle (\lambda \text{ is a regular cardinal} \wedge \mathcal{D} \text{ is a diagram in } \mathcal{A} \wedge$$

$$\mathcal{D} \text{ is } \lambda\text{-directed} \wedge$$

$$\langle \bar{A}, \bar{\eta} \rangle = \text{Colim}_{\mathbf{Str} \Sigma}(F\mathcal{D}) \wedge \langle A, \eta \rangle = \text{Colim}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{D}) \wedge$$

$$a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A) \text{ is the induced homomorphism} \wedge$$

$$a \text{ is not an isomorphism}).$$

The universal property of colimits makes the middle line Π_{n+1} .

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.
 \mathcal{C} : cat. of sufficiently directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$
 \mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos; \mathcal{C}^* is Σ_{n+2} -definable
 Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

Claim

$\text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}^*)$ is Σ_{n+2} -definable over the language of set theory (extended with \mathcal{P}_β):
 $a \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}^*)$ iff

$$\exists \lambda \exists \mathcal{D} \exists \langle \bar{A}, \bar{\eta} \rangle \exists \langle A, \eta \rangle (\lambda \text{ is a regular cardinal} \wedge \mathcal{D} \text{ is a diagram in } \mathcal{A} \wedge$$

$$\mathcal{D} \text{ is } \lambda\text{-directed} \wedge$$

$$\langle \bar{A}, \bar{\eta} \rangle = \text{Colim}_{\mathbf{Str} \Sigma}(F\mathcal{D}) \wedge \langle A, \eta \rangle = \text{Colim}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{D}) \wedge$$

$$a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A) \text{ is the induced homomorphism} \wedge$$

$$a \text{ is not an isomorphism}).$$

The universal property of colimits makes the middle line Π_{n+1} .

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of sufficiently directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos; \mathcal{C}^* is Σ_{n+2} -definable

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of sufficiently directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos; \mathcal{C}^* is Σ_{n+2} -definable

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

Assume for contradiction that \mathcal{C}^* is not essentially small.

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of sufficiently directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos; \mathcal{C}^* is Σ_{n+2} -definable

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

Assume for contradiction that \mathcal{C}^* is not essentially small.

Let κ be a $\mathcal{C}^{(n)+}$ -extendible cardinal greater than β .

Let a be an object of \mathcal{C}^* of rank $> \kappa$, arising from a λ_a -directed diagram \mathcal{D}_a for some $\lambda_a > \kappa$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{(n)}$ be greater than the ranks of $a, \mathcal{D}_a, F\mathcal{D}_a$, and the corresponding cocones $\langle \bar{A}, \bar{\eta} \rangle_a$ and $\langle A, \eta \rangle_a$.

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of sufficiently directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos; \mathcal{C}^* is Σ_{n+2} -definable

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

If not take κ a $\mathcal{C}^{(n)+}$ -extendible, $a \in \mathcal{C}^*$ “bigger”, $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{(n)}$ yet bigger

Assume for contradiction that \mathcal{C}^* is not essentially small.

Let κ be a $\mathcal{C}^{(n)+}$ -extendible cardinal greater than β .

Let a be an object of \mathcal{C}^* of rank $> \kappa$, arising from a λ_a -directed diagram \mathcal{D}_a for some $\lambda_a > \kappa$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{(n)}$ be greater than the ranks of $a, \mathcal{D}_a, F\mathcal{D}_a$, and the corresponding cocones $\langle \bar{A}, \bar{\eta} \rangle_a$ and $\langle A, \eta \rangle_a$.

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of λ -directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos; \mathcal{C}^* is Σ_{n+2} -definable

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

If not take κ a $\mathcal{C}^{(n)+}$ -extendible, $a \in \mathcal{C}^*$ “bigger”, $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{(n)}$ yet bigger

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str}\Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of λ -directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos; \mathcal{C}^* is Σ_{n+2} -definable

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

If not take κ a $\mathcal{C}^{(n)+}$ -extendible, $a \in \mathcal{C}^*$ “bigger”, $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{(n)}$ yet bigger

Let $j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ be an elementary embedding with critical point κ such that $\mu > j(\kappa) > \lambda$ are all in $\mathcal{C}^{(n)}$.

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of λ -directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos; \mathcal{C}^* is Σ_{n+2} -definable

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

If not take κ a $\mathcal{C}^{(n)+}$ -extendible, $a \in \mathcal{C}^*$ “bigger”, $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{(n)}$ yet bigger,

$j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ a $\mathcal{C}^{(n)+}$ -extendibility embedding

Let $j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ be an elementary embedding with critical point κ such that $\mu > j(\kappa) > \lambda$ are all in $\mathcal{C}^{(n)}$.

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of λ -directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos; \mathcal{C}^* is Σ_{n+2} -definable

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

If not take κ a $\mathcal{C}^{(n)+}$ -extendible, $a \in \mathcal{C}^*$ “bigger”, $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{(n)}$ yet bigger,
 $j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ a $\mathcal{C}^{(n)+}$ -extendibility embedding

Let $j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ be an elementary embedding with critical point κ such that $\mu > j(\kappa) > \lambda$ are all in $\mathcal{C}^{(n)}$. Then

$V_\mu \models \lambda_a, \mathcal{D}_a, \langle \bar{A}, \bar{\eta} \rangle_a$ and $\langle A, \eta \rangle_a$ witness that $a \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}^*)$.

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of λ -directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos; \mathcal{C}^* is Σ_{n+2} -definable

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

If not take κ a $\mathcal{C}^{(n)+}$ -extendible, $a \in \mathcal{C}^*$ “bigger”, $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{(n)}$ yet bigger,
 $j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ a $\mathcal{C}^{(n)+}$ -extendibility embedding

Let $j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ be an elementary embedding with critical point κ such that $\mu > j(\kappa) > \lambda$ are all in $\mathcal{C}^{(n)}$. Then

$V_\mu \models \lambda_a, \mathcal{D}_a, \langle \bar{A}, \bar{\eta} \rangle_a$ and $\langle A, \eta \rangle_a$ witness that $a \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}^*)$.

Henceforth work in V_μ .

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of λ -directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos; \mathcal{C}^* is Σ_{n+2} -definable

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

If not take κ a $\mathcal{C}^{(n)+}$ -extendible, $a \in \mathcal{C}^*$ “bigger”, $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{(n)}$ yet bigger,
 $j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ a $\mathcal{C}^{(n)+}$ -extendibility embedding

Let $j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ be an elementary embedding with critical point κ such that $\mu > j(\kappa) > \lambda$ are all in $\mathcal{C}^{(n)}$. Then

$V_\mu \models \lambda_a, \mathcal{D}_a, \langle \bar{A}, \bar{\eta} \rangle_a$ and $\langle A, \eta \rangle_a$ witness that $a \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}^*)$.

Henceforth work in V_μ .

Note that because $\kappa > \beta$, the definition of F is unaffected by j , so j commutes with F .

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of sufficiently directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos; \mathcal{C}^* is Σ_{n+2} -definable

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

If not take κ a $C^{(n)+}$ -extendible, $a \in \mathcal{C}^*$ "bigger", $\lambda \in C^{(n)}$ yet bigger,

$j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ a $C^{(n)+}$ -extendibility embedding

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of sufficiently directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos; \mathcal{C}^* is Σ_{n+2} -definable

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

If not take κ a $C^{(n)+}$ -extendible, $a \in \mathcal{C}^*$ "bigger", $\lambda \in C^{(n)}$ yet bigger,

$j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ a $C^{(n)+}$ -extendibility embedding

Since j is elementary, we have a morphism in \mathcal{C}^{*V_μ}

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bar{A} & \xrightarrow{a} & F(A) \\
 j \upharpoonright \bar{A} \downarrow & & \downarrow j \upharpoonright F(A) \\
 j(\bar{A}) & \xrightarrow{j(a)} & j(F(A)).
 \end{array}$$

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of sufficiently directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos; \mathcal{C}^* is Σ_{n+2} -definable

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

If not take κ a $C^{(n)+}$ -extendible, $a \in \mathcal{C}^*$ "bigger", $\lambda \in C^{(n)}$ yet bigger,

$j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ a $C^{(n)+}$ -extendibility embedding

Since j is elementary, we have a morphism in \mathcal{C}^{*V_μ}

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bar{A} & \xrightarrow{a} & F(A) \\
 j \upharpoonright \bar{A} \downarrow & & \downarrow j \upharpoonright F(A) \\
 j(\bar{A}) & \xrightarrow{j(a)} & j(F(A)).
 \end{array}$$

Now, \mathcal{D}_a is λ_a -directed, so $j(\mathcal{D}_a)$ is $j(\lambda_a)$ -directed, and $j(F\mathcal{D}_a) = Fj(\mathcal{D}_a)$ is $j(\lambda_a)$ -directed.

Proof

Want to show $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Str} \Sigma$ preserves λ -directed colimits.

\mathcal{C} : cat. of sufficiently directed colimit morphisms $a : \bar{A} \rightarrow F(A)$

\mathcal{C}^* : full subcat. of non-isos; \mathcal{C}^* is Σ_{n+2} -definable

Want to show \mathcal{C}^* is essentially small

If not take κ a $C^{(n)+}$ -extendible, $a \in \mathcal{C}^*$ “bigger”, $\lambda \in C^{(n)}$ yet bigger,

$j : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\mu$ a $C^{(n)+}$ -extendibility embedding

Since j is elementary, we have a morphism in \mathcal{C}^{*V_μ}

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bar{A} & \xrightarrow{a} & F(A) \\
 j \upharpoonright \bar{A} \downarrow & & \downarrow j \upharpoonright F(A) \\
 j(\bar{A}) & \xrightarrow{j(a)} & j(F(A)).
 \end{array}$$

Now, \mathcal{D}_a is λ_a -directed, so $j(\mathcal{D}_a)$ is $j(\lambda_a)$ -directed, and $j(F\mathcal{D}_a) = Fj(\mathcal{D}_a)$ is $j(\lambda_a)$ -directed. Since $j(\lambda_a) > j(\kappa) > \lambda > |\mathcal{D}_a|$, $j \upharpoonright F\mathcal{D}_a$ has an upper bound $F(d_0)$ in $Fj(\mathcal{D}_a)$.

